|||

This matter has come before the Court to determine whether there is any cause why this Court should not approve Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs' ("IPPs") settlements with Settling Defendants (1) Hitachi Chemical Co., Ltd, Hitachi AIC Inc., and Hitachi Chemical Co. America, Ltd. (collectively, "Hitachi Chemical"); (2) Soshin Electric Co., Ltd and Soshin Electronics of America, Inc. (together, "Soshin"); (3) Holystone Enterprise Co., Ltd, Holy Stone Holdings Co., Ltd, Holy Stone Polytech Co., Ltd, and Milestone Global Technology, Inc. (collectively, "Holy Stone"); (4) Nippon Chemi-Con Corp. and United Chemi-Con Corp. (together, "NCC/UCC"); and (5) Rubycon Corp. and Rubycon America Inc. (together, "Rubycon"); and approve IPPs' Plan of Allocation. The Court, having reviewed the motion, the Settlement Agreements, the pleadings and other papers on file in this action, and the statements of counsel and the parties, including at the October 18, 2018 Fairness Hearing, hereby finds that the Settlements and Plan of Allocation should be approved. Accordingly, the Court enters this Order of Final Approval.

Good cause appearing therefore:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation, and all actions within this litigation (collectively, the "Action") and over the parties to the Settlement Agreements, including all members of the Settlement Classes and the Settling Defendants.
- 2. For purposes of this Order, except as otherwise set forth herein, the Court incorporates the definitions contained in the Settlement Agreements [ECF Nos. 1844-3, 1844-4, 2099-3, 2099-4, and 2099-5].
- 3. The Court hereby finally approves and confirms the settlements set forth in the Settlement Agreements between Class Representatives and the Settling Defendants, and finds that said settlements are, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Classes pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 4. The following Classes are certified for settlement purposes only, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure:

a. HITACHI CHEMICAL

All persons and entities in the United States who, during the period from April 1, 2002 to February 28, 2014, purchased one or more Electrolytic Capacitor(s) from a distributor (or from an entity other than a Defendant) that a Defendant or alleged co-conspirator manufactured. Excluded from the Class are Defendants, their parent companies, subsidiaries and Affiliates, any co-conspirators, Defendants' attorneys in this case, federal government entities and instrumentalities, states and their subdivisions, all judges assigned to this case, all jurors in this case, and all persons and entities who directly purchased Capacitors from Defendants; and

All persons and entities in the United States who, during the period from January 1, 2002 to February 28, 2014, purchased one or more Film Capacitor(s) from a distributor (or from an entity other than a Defendant) that a Defendant or alleged co-conspirator manufactured. Excluded from the Class are Defendants, their parent companies, subsidiaries and Affiliates, any co-conspirators, Defendants' attorneys in this case, federal government entities and instrumentalities, states and their subdivisions, all judges assigned to this case, all jurors in this case, and all persons and entities who directly purchased Capacitors from Defendants.

b. SOSHIN

All persons and entities in the United States who, during the period from January 1, 2002 to February 28, 2014, purchased one or more Capacitor(s) from a distributor (or from an entity other than a Defendant) that a Defendant or alleged co-conspirator manufactured. Excluded from the Class are Defendants; their parent companies, subsidiaries and Affiliates; any co-conspirators; Defendants' attorneys in this case; federal government entities and instrumentalities, states and their subdivisions; all judges assigned to this case; all jurors in this case; and all Persons who directly purchased Capacitors from Defendants.

c. HOLYSTONE

All persons and entities in the United States who, during the period from April 1, 2002 to February 28, 2014, purchased one or more Electrolytic Capacitor(s) from a distributor (or from an entity other than a Defendant) that a Defendant or alleged co-conspirator manufactured. Excluded from the Class are Defendants, their parent companies, subsidiaries and Affiliates, any co-conspirators, Defendants' attorneys in this case, federal government entities and instrumentalities, states and their subdivisions, all judges assigned to this case, all jurors in this case, and all persons and entities who directly purchased Capacitors from Defendant.

d. NCC/UCC

All persons and entities in the United States who, during the period from April 1, 2002 to February 28, 2014, purchased one or more Electrolytic Capacitor(s) from a distributor (or from an entity other than a Defendant) that a Defendant or alleged co-conspirator manufactured. Excluded from the Class are Defendants, their parent companies, subsidiaries and Affiliates, any co-conspirators, Defendants' attorneys in this case, federal government entities and instrumentalities, states and their subdivisions, all judges assigned to this case, all jurors in this case, and all persons and entities who directly purchased Capacitors from Defendants; and

All persons and entities in the United States who, during the period from January 1, 2002 to February 28, 2014, purchased one or more Film Capacitor(s) from a distributor (or from an entity other than a Defendant) that a Defendant or alleged co-conspirator manufactured. Excluded from the Class are Defendants, their parent companies, subsidiaries and Affiliates, and any co-conspirators, Defendants' attorneys in this case, federal government entities and instrumentalities, states and their subdivisions, all judges assigned to this case, all jurors in this case, and all persons and entities who directly purchased Capacitors from Defendants.

e. RUBYCON

All persons and entities in the United States who, during the period from April 1, 2002 to February 28, 2014, purchased one or more Electrolytic Capacitor(s) from a distributor (or from an entity other than a Defendant) that a Defendant or alleged co-conspirator manufactured. Excluded from the Class are Defendants, their parent companies, subsidiaries and Affiliates, any co-conspirators, Defendants' attorneys in this case, federal government entities and instrumentalities, states and their subdivisions, all judges assigned to this case, all jurors in this case, and all persons and entities who directly purchased Capacitors from Defendants; and

All persons and entities in the United States who, during the period from January 1, 2002 to February 28, 2014, purchased one or more Film Capacitor(s) from a distributor (or from an entity other than a Defendant) that a Defendant or alleged co-conspirator manufactured. Excluded from the Class are Defendants, their parent companies, subsidiaries and Affiliates, any co-conspirators, Defendants' attorneys in this case, federal government entities and instrumentalities, states and their subdivisions, all judges assigned to this case, all jurors in this case, and all persons and entities who directly purchased Capacitors from Defendants.

- 5. These settlement classes shall be referred to herein as the "Settlement Classes."
- 6. The Court finds the prerequisites to a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) have been satisfied for settlement purposes by each of the Settlement Classes in that:
 - a. there are at least thousands of geographically dispersed settlement class members, making joinder of all members impracticable;
 - b. there are questions of law and fact common to the settlement classes which predominate over individual issues
 - c. the claims or defenses of the class representatives are typical of the claims or defenses of the settlement classes;
 - d. the Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the settlement classes, and have retained counsel experienced in antitrust class action litigation who have, and will continue to, adequately represent the settlement classes; and
 - e. resolution through class settlements is superior to individual settlements.
- 7. The Court finds that this Action may be maintained as a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3) for settlement because: (i) questions of fact and law common to members of the Settlement Classes predominate over any questions affecting only the claims of individual members; and (ii) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.
- 8. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g), the Court hereby confirms that Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy LLP is appointed as Settlement Class Counsel, and that Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs Michael Brooks, CAE Sound, Steve Wong, Toy-Knowlogy Inc., AGS Devices Co., AGS Devices Ltd., J&O Electronics, Nebraska Dynamics, Inc., Angstrom, Inc., MakersLED and In Home Tech Solutions, Inc. are appointed to serve as Class Representatives on behalf of the Settlement Classes.
- 9. IPPs' notice of the Class Settlements to the Settlement Classes was the best notice practicable under the circumstances. The notice satisfied due process and provided adequate

satisfied the requirements of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(c)(2) and (e)(1).

3

6

11

9

1213

1415

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

10. The persons and entities identified in Exhibit A to this [proposed] Order have timely and validly requested exclusion from the Settlement Classes and, therefore, are excluded from those Settlement Classes identified. Such persons and entities are not included in or bound

information to the Settlement Classes of all matters relating to the Class Settlements, and fully

by this Order as it relates to the specific settlement or settlements for which they opted-out. Such persons and entities are not entitled to any recovery of the settlement proceeds obtained through

these Class Settlements.

- 11. No valid objections were filed regarding any of the Class Settlements.
- 12. The Court finds that IPPs' proposed Plan of Allocation, proposing to pay putative Class Members on a *pro rata* basis based on qualifying purchases of capacitors and on the type and extent of injury suffered by each class member in those states which permit indirect purchaser claims is fair, reasonable, and adequate. *In re Citric Acid Antitrust Litig.*, 145 F. Supp. 2d 1152, 1154 (N.D. Cal. 2001). The Plan of Allocation does not unfairly favor any Class Member, or group of Class Members, to the detriment of others. The Plan of Allocation being approved herein is the same Plan that has previously been approved by this Court in connection with earlier settlements in this case.
- 13. Without affecting the finality of this Order in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over:
 - a. implementation of these settlements and any distribution to members of the
 Settlement Classes pursuant to further orders of this Court;
 - b. disposition of the Settlement Fund;
 - c. determining attorneys' fees, costs, expenses, and interest;
 - d. the Action until Final Judgment contemplated hereby has become effective and each and every act agreed to be performed by the parties all have been performed pursuant to the Settlement Agreements;

Case 3:14-cv-03264-JD Document 2187-1 Filed 09/12/18 Page 7 of 14

1	e. hearing and ruling on any matters relating to the plan of allocation o
2	settlement proceeds; and
3	f. all parties to the Action and Releasing Parties, for the purpose of enforcing and
4	administering the Settlement Agreements and the mutual releases and othe
5	documents contemplated by, or executed in connection with the Agreement.
6	14. The Court finds, pursuant to Rules 54(a) and (b) of the Federal Rules of Civi
7	Procedure, that Final Judgments of Dismissal with prejudice as to the Settling Defendants
8	("Judgments") should be entered forthwith and further finds that there is no just reason for delay
9	in the entry of the Judgments, as Final Judgments, in accordance with the Settlement Agreements.
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.
11	
12	Dated: October, 2018
13	Hon. James Donato
14	United States District Court Judge
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlements with Defendants Hitachi Chemical, Soshin, Holy Stone, NCC/UCC, and Rubycon, and Approving the Plan of Allocation; Case No. 3:14-cv-03264-JD

EXHIBIT A

Exclusions from Settlement Class(es)

<u>Name</u>	Exclusion Request Timely	Settlement Class(es) Excluded From
Gayle L. Roberts	Yes	Hitachi
		Soshin
		Rubycon
		Holystone
		Nippon/United Chemi-con
Peter Zdinak	Yes	Hitachi
		Soshin
		Rubycon
		Holystone
		Nippon/United Chemi-con
LingoTeach Inc	Yes	Hitachi
		Soshin
		Rubycon
		Holystone
		Nippon/United Chemi-con
Plexus Corp	Yes	Rubycon
		Nippon/United Chemi-con
Plexus Asia, Ltd.	Yes	Rubycon
		Nippon/United Chemi-con
Plexus Corp. Limited	Yes	Rubycon
		Nippon/United Chemi-con
Plexus Corporation (UK) Limited	Yes	Rubycon
		Nippon/United Chemi-con
Plexus Deutschland GmbH	Yes	Rubycon
		Nippon/United Chemi-con
Plexus Electronica S. de R.L. de	Yes	Rubycon
C.V.		Nippon/United Chemi-con
Plexus (Hangzhou) Co., Ltd.	Yes	Rubycon
		Nippon/United Chemi-con
Plexus International Services,	Yes	Rubycon
Inc.		Nippon/United Chemi-con
Plexus Intl. Sales & Logistics, LLC	Yes	Rubycon
		Nippon/United Chemi-con

Case 3:14-cv-03264-JD Document 2187-1 Filed 09/12/18 Page 9 of 14

<u>Name</u>	Exclusion Request Timely	Settlement Class(es) Excluded From
Plexus Manufacturing Sdn. Bhd.	Yes	Rubycon Nippon/United Chemi-con
Plexus Services RO S.R.L.	Yes	RubyconNippon/United Chemi-con
Plexus (Xia men) Co., Ltd.	Yes	Rubycon Nippon/United Chemi-con
Plexus (Zhejiang) Co., Ltd	Yes	Rubycon Nippon/United Chemi-con
Plexus Corp. (Kelso) Limited	Yes	Rubycon Nippon/United Chemi-con
Plexus Corp. (Maldon) Limited	Yes	Rubycon Nippon/United Chemi-con
Plexus Services Corp.	Yes	Rubycon Nippon/United Chemi-con
Plexus Technology Group, Inc.	Yes	Rubycon Nippon/United Chemi-con
Plexus Electronic Assembly Corp.	Yes	Rubycon Nippon/United Chemi-con
Plexus NPI Plus Corp.	Yes	Rubycon Nippon/United Chemi-con
Plexus Nampa LLC	Yes	Rubycon Nippon/United Chemi-con
Plexus Aerospace, Defense and Security Services, LLC USA	Yes	Rubycon Nippon/United Chemi-con
Plexus QS, LLC	Yes	Rubycon Nippon/United Chemi-con
Plexus Management Services Corporation USA	Yes	Rubycon Nippon/United Chemi-con
Plexus (Thailand) Co., Ltd.	Yes	Rubycon Nippon/United Chemi-con
PTL Information Technology Services Corp.	Yes	Rubycon Nippon/United Chemi-con
Plexus Services Americas, S. de R.L. de C.V.	Yes	Rubycon Nippon/United Chemi-con

Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlements with Defendants Hitachi Chemical, Soshin, Holy Stone, NCC/UCC, and Rubycon, and Approving the Plan of Allocation; Case No. 3:14-cv-03264-JD

.	<u>Name</u>	Exclusion Request Timely	Settlement Class(es) Excluded From
$\ $	Microsoft Mobile, Inc. and	Yes	Soshin
^	Microsoft Mobile Oy		Rubycon
			Holystone
			Nippon/United Chemi-con
-	Microsoft Corporation	Yes	Soshin
;	Microsoft Corporation	res	RubyconHolystoneNippon/United
Ш			Chemi-con
			Chemi con
	Microsoft Corporation's	Yes	Soshin
Ш	Subsidiaries	103	Rubycon
	0000101101100		Holystone
			Nippon/United Chemi-con
Ш	Nokia Corporation (Finland)	Yes	Soshin
			Rubycon
			Holystone
			Nippon/United Chemi-con
	Nokia Sales International Oy	Yes	Soshin
Ш	(Finland)		Rubycon
			Holystone
			Nippon/United Chemi-con
	Nokia India Pvt. Ltd. (India)	Yes	Soshin
			Rubycon
Ш			Holystone
			Nippon/United Chemi-con
	OOO Nokia (Russia)	Yes	Soshin
Ш			Rubycon
			Holystone
			Nippon/United Chemi-con
	Nokia (China) Investment Co.,	Yes	Soshin
Ш	Ltd. (China)		Rubycon
Ш			Holystone
			Nippon/United Chemi-con
	Nokia Telecommunications Ltd.	Yes	Soshin
	(China)		Rubycon
	, ,		Holystone
			Nippon/United Chemi-con
	Nokia Inc. / United Ctatas	Voc	Cachia
	Nokia Inc. (United States)	Yes	Soshin Rubycon
			Holystone
			Nippon/United Chemi-con
Ш			Nippon/onited chemi-con

Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlements with Defendants Hitachi Chemical, Soshin, Holy Stone, NCC/UCC, and Rubycon, and Approving the Plan of Allocation; Case No. 3:14-cv-03264-JD

Case 3:14-cv-03264-JD Document 2187-1 Filed 09/12/18 Page 11 of 14

<u>Name</u>	Exclusion Request Timely	Settlement Class(es) Excluded From
Nokia UK Limited (United	Yes	Soshin
Kingdom)		Rubycon
,		Holystone
		Nippon/United Chemi-con
		Nippony officed Cheffil-con
Nokia do Brasil Technologia Ltda	Yes	Soshin
(Brazil)		Rubycon
		Holystone
		Nippon/United Chemi-con
lokia TMC Limited (South Korea)	Yes	Soshin
		RubyconHolystoneNippon/United
		Chemi-con
Nokia (Thailand) Ltd. (Thailand)	Yes	Soshin
		Rubycon
		Holystone
		Nippon/United Chemi-con
Nokia Solutions and Networks	Yes	Soshin
B.V. (The Netherlands)		Rubycon
		Holystone
		Nippon/United Chemi-con
		Nippon/officed Cheffil-con
Nokia Solutions and Networks	Yes	Soshin
Oy (Finland)		Rubycon
		Holystone
		Nippon/United Chemi-con
		This point of the second of th
Nokia Solutions and Networks	Yes	Soshin
US LLC (United States)		Rubycon
, ,		Holystone
		Nippon/United Chemi-con
		Tuppen, emica enemi een
Nokia Solutions and Networks	Yes	Soshin
Japan Corp (Japan)		Rubycon
sapan co.p (sapan)		Holystone
		Nippon/United Chemi-con
		Nippon/Onited Chemi-con
Nokia Solutions and Networks	Yes	Soshin
India Private Limited (India)	1.03	Rubycon
mara i rivate Emilitea (maia)		The state of the s
		Holystone
		Nippon/United Chemi-con
Nokia Solutions and Networks	Yes	Soshin
System Technology (Beijing) Co.,		Rubycon
Ltd (China)		Holystone
Liu (Cillia)		
		Nippon/United Chemi-con

Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlements with Defendants Hitachi Chemical, Soshin, Holy Stone, NCC/UCC, and Rubycon, and Approving the Plan of Allocation; Case No. 3:14-cv-03264-JD

1	Name	Exclusion Request Timely	Settlement Class(es) Excluded From
	Nokia Solutions and Networks	Yes	Soshin
2	Branch Operations Oy (Finland)		Rubycon
3			Holystone
			Nippon/United Chemi-con
4	Nokia Solutions and Networks	Yes	Soshin
5	Korea Ltd (South Korea)		Rubycon
			Holystone
6			Nippon/United Chemi-con
7	Nokia Solutions and Networks do	Yes	Soshin
,	Brasil Telecomunicações Ltda		Rubycon
8	(Brazil)		Holystone
			Nippon/United Chemi-con
9	Nokia Solutions and Networks	Yes	Soshin
10	Technology Service Co., Ltd		RubyconHolystoneNippon/United
	(China)		Chemi-con
11			
12	HERE Holding Corporation	Yes	Soshin
	(United States)		Rubycon
13			Holystone
14			Nippon/United Chemi-con
	HERE Global B.V. (The	Yes	Soshin
15	Netherlands)		Rubycon
			Holystone
16			Nippon/United Chemi-con
17	U5555 5 5 4 (7)		
	HERE Europe B.V. (The Netherlands)	Yes	Soshin
18	Netherlands)		Rubycon Holystone
19			Nippon/United Chemi-con
	LIEDE North Arranica LIC/Livitad	Vaa	Soshin
20	HERE North America LLC (United States)	Yes	Rubycon
21			Holystone
21			Nippon/United Chemi-con
22	HERE Deutschland GmbH	Yes	Soshin
22	(Germany)	-	Rubycon
23			Holystone
24			Nippon/United Chemi-con
	Nokia Finance International B.V.	Yes	Soshin
25	(The Netherlands)		Rubycon
26			Holystone
26			Nippon/United Chemi-con
27			

Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlements with Defendants Hitachi Chemical, Soshin, Holy Stone, NCC/UCC, and Rubycon, and Approving the Plan of Allocation; Case No. 3:14-cv-03264-JD

<u>Name</u>	Exclusion Request Timely	Settlement Class(es) Excluded Fron
Nokia GmbH (Germany)	Yes	Soshin
		Rubycon
		Holystone
		Nippon/United Chemi-con
Nokia Capitel	Yes	Soshin
Telecommunications Ltd. (China)		Rubycon
		Holystone
		Nippon/United Chemi-con
Dongguan Nokia Mobile Phones	Yes	Soshin
Company Ltd. (China)	1.65	Rubycon
company Eta. (cima)		Holystone
		Nippon/United Chemi-con
		Mippony officed efferm com
Nokia Komarom Kft (Hungary)	Yes	Soshin
, , ,		Rubycon
		Holystone
		Nippon/United Chemi-con
Nokia Romania SRL (Romania)	Yes	Soshin
Nokia Komama SKE (Komama)	163	RubyconHolystoneNippon/United
		Chemi-con
		CHEIII-COII
Nokia Communications	Yes	Soshin
Equipment (Shanghai) Ltd	ies	Rubycon
(China)		Holystone
(Clina)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Nippon/United Chemi-con
Nokia (HK) Ltd (Hong Kong)	Yes	Soshin
, , , , ,		Rubycon
		Holystone
		Nippon/United Chemi-con
Nokia Mobile Phone	Yes	Soshin
Manufacturing (HK) Ltd (Hong Kong)		Rubycon
KOIIB)		Holystone Nippon/United Chemi-con
		Nippon/onited chemi-con
Nokia Mobile Communications	Yes	Soshin
KK (formerly Nokia Mobile		Rubycon
Phone Japan)		Holystone
. ,		Nippon/United Chemi-con
Dell Inc.	Yes	Rubycon
		Holystone
		Nippon/United Chemi-con

Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlements with Defendants Hitachi Chemical, Soshin, Holy Stone, NCC/UCC, and Rubycon, and Approving the Plan of Allocation; Case No. 3:14-cv-03264-JD

Case 3:14-cv-03264-JD Document 2187-1 Filed 09/12/18 Page 14 of 14

Exclusion Request Timely	Settlement Class(es) Excluded From
Yes	Rubycon Holystone Nippon/United Chemi-con
Yes	Rubycon Holystone Nippon/United Chemi-con
Yes	Rubycon Holystone Nippon/United Chemi-con
	Yes Yes

Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlements with Defendants Hitachi Chemical, Soshin, Holy Stone, NCC/UCC, and Rubycon, and Approving the Plan of Allocation; Case No. 3:14-cv-03264-JD